

#### Proposal Title Auburn Local Environmental Plan - Amendment No. 17 - 2-10 Jenkins Street and 344-362 Park **Road, Regents Park** Proposal Summary The planning proposal seeks to rezone land at 2-10 Jenkins Street and 344-362 Park Road, Regents Park, from IN2 Light Industrial to R4 High Density Residential, to remove the minimum lot size and amend the maximum building heights and floor space ratio provisions. PP Number PP\_2014\_AUBUR 005 00 Dop File No : 14/15876 **Proposal Details** Date Planning 18-Nov-2014 LGA covered : Auburn Proposal Received :: RPA : Auburn Council Region : Metro(Parra) Section of the Act State Electorate : AUBURN 55 - Planning Proposal LEP Type : Spot Rezoning **Location Details** Street : 2-10 Jenkins Street Suburb : Auburn City : Auburn Postcode : 2141 Land Parcel : Lot 1 DP800946, Lots 1-4 DP20202 Street : 344-362 Park Road Suburb : Auburn City : Postcode : Auburn 2141 Land Parcel : Lots 5-7 DP20202, Lots 1-4 DP365565, Lot 1 DP731227, Lot 2 DP828499, Lot 1 DP610198, Lot 3 DP800946 **DoP Planning Officer Contact Details** Contact Name : Tai Ta Contact Number : 0298601560 Contact Email : tai.ta@planning.nsw.gov.au **RPA Contact Details** Contact Name : **Theresa Southwell** Contact Number : 0297351309 Contact Email : theresa.southwell@auburn.nsw.gov.au **DoP Project Manager Contact Details** Contact Name : **Terry Doran** Contact Number : 0298601149 Contact Email : terry.doran@planning.nsw.gov.au

-

and Release Data			
Growth Centre :	2.	Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub		Consistent with Strategy :	
Regional Strategy		Consistent with Otrategy ;	
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :	11	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :	At this point in time, to the best of Practice in relation to commu- with. No such communications	inications and meetings with I	
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? : If Yes, comment :	No		1 ×
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	BACKGROUND The subject land was rezoned fr planning proposal in May 2013. the land to R4 High Density Res proposal, the subject site has b Street and 358, 360 and 362 Par used for a Veterans and Commu	Council by resolution on 16 Ju idential by way of a planning p een extended to include prope k Road. Property 356 is owned	uly 2014, resolved to rezone proposal. In this planning erties numbers 2 Jenkins
	INTERNAL CONSULTATION The planning proposal has beer and has received the following o		Employment Lands Manager
	The Regents Park employment I and the Auburn Local Governme to the planning proposal is only IN1 zoned portion of the precine Therefore the effects of a rezoni	ent Area as a whole, however 2.4% of the entire precinct, ar t by Park Road.	the proportion that is subject nd is also separated from the
	land, and future residential will	have a road as a buffer.	
	However, there is still potential to existing and functional IN1 in no disruption to the remainder o	dustrial zoned land that will re	equire management to ensure
	POLITICAL DONATIONS DISCLO	DSURE STATEMENT	
	Political donations disclosure la requires the public disclosure o		

à

	"The disclosure requirements under the new legislation are triggered by the making of relevant planning applications and relevant public submissions on such applications.
	The term relevant planning application means:
	- A formal request to the Minister, a council or the Secretary to initiate the making of an environmental planning instrument"
	Planning Circular PS 08-009 specifies that a person who makes a public submission to the Minister or Secretary is required to disclose all reportable political donations (if any).
	To the best of the Regional Planning Team's knowledge, the Department has not received any disclosure statements for this Planning Proposal.
	DATE OF RECEIPT The planning proposal was received during September 2014. Discussions were held with council officers, particularly concerning Council's draft employment lands strategy. Consultation with council officers was finalised on 18 November 2014.
External Supporting Notes :	The planning proposal application was made by JBA Planning on behalf of the owner of part of the site. Council has resolved to support the application by way of Council resolution on 16 July 2014 to refer this planning proposal to the Department of Planning and Environment for a Gateway determination.

## Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

ų,

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

bjectives provided fires		
* amend Auburn LEP High Density Resid * amend the maximu	m height of buildings to 19.5m; m floor space ratio to 1.4:1; and	
visions provided - s55	(2)(b)	
ovisions provided? Yes		
The proposal conform 2006.	ns with the Standard Instrument (Local Environmental Plans) Order	
The proposal identifies land at 2-10 Jenkins Street, and 344-362 Park Road, Regents Park as the site for the rezoning.		
The land zoning, lot s be amended accordin	ize, height of buildings, and floor space ratio maps are proposed to gly.	
2)(c)		
gy been agreed to by the D	irector General? <b>No</b>	
ntified by RPA :	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones	
r General's agreement	3.1 Residential Zones 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.3 Flood Prone Land 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036	
	The objectives of the * amend Auburn LEP High Density Resid * amend the maximul * amend the maximul * remove the minimul visions provided - s55 ovisions provided? Yes The proposal conform 2006. The proposal identified as the site for the reze The land zoning, lot s be amended accordin 2)(c) gy been agreed to by the D ntified by RPA :	

Is the Director General's agreement required? N/A

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP No 6—Number of Storeys in a Building SEPP No 19—Bushland in Urban Areas SEPP No 22—Shops and Commercial Premises SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004 SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004 SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :

#### SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS

The proposal identifies all relevant Section 117 Directions (see Appendix F -'Documents'). Of relevance are: 1.1 Business and Industrial zones, 3.1 Residential Zones, 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils and, 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036.

SECTION 117 DIRECTION: 1.1 BUSINESS AND INDUSTRIAL ZONES The planning proposal is inconsistent with Direction 1.1 - Business and Industrial Zones in that it seeks to rezone IN2 Light Industrial zone land to R4 High Density Residential.

The proponent has provided justification by letter to Council dated 9 September 2014 (copy in 'documents'). Justification to the inconsistency is sought on the basis of consistency with Council's adopted Employment lands Study\* (Hill PDA Consulting) and that the loss of industrial zoned land (i.e. the site) is of minor significance.

### \* Note:

- . The Hill PDA employment lands study is council's existing formal study, however, it is 6 years old and required updating.
- . Council has commissioned a fresh employment lands study (AECOM).
- . Council placed the draft on exhibition on 15 October 2014, with the
  - exhibition period finishing on 21 November, 2014.

This matter is further discussed in this report under 'Assessment'.

Should the planning proposal proceed, it is recommended that the delegate agree any inconsistency is of a minor nature.

### SECTION 117 DIRECTION: 3.1 RESIDENTIAL ZONES

The Direction requires that provisions are made for servicing and a proposal must not contain provisions which will reduce permissible residential density.

Auburn Local Environmental Plan 2010 includes clause 6.5 Essential Services and the proposal does not seek to reduce housing density.

It is considered the proposal is not inconsistent with the Direction.

### SECTION 117 DIRECTION 4.1 ACID SULFATE SOILS

While the site is identified as a class 5 acid sulfate soil type- it is considered that the proposal is not inconsistent with Section 117 Direction 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils, as Auburn Local Environmental Plan 2010 contains a relevant acid sulfate soils clause (see clause 6.1).

SECTION 117 DIRECTION: 7.1 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE METROPOLITAN PLAN FOR **SYDNEY 2036** The applicant has sought to address the need to retain industrial zoned land as provided by the Metropolitan Plan again on the basis of minor significance (see letter of 9 September 2014 - 'Documents'). Similarly, this matter is further discussed in this report under 'Assessment' and should the planning proposal proceed, it is recommended that the delegate agree any inconsistency is of a minor nature. STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES The planning proposal has appropriately addressed its consistency with State Environmental Planning Policies. In particular, it has appropriately acknowledged the need for the preparation of a phase 1 contamination assessment to satisfy the provisions of Sate Environmental Planning Policy 55 - Remediation of Land. Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d) Is mapping provided? No Comment : Council has submitted adequate maps to clearly indicate the proposed rezoning. However, Council will be required to submit maps compliant with the Department's mapping specifications if a Gateway determination to proceed is issued. Community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes Comment : Council has proposed a period of 28 days. During exhibition of the planning proposal the community will be invited to make submissions. The community will be further consulted on the final details of the proposal through the development application process should the planning proposal proceed. Additional Director General's requirements Are there any additional Director General's requirements? N/A If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of the proposal Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes If No, comment : **Proposal Assessment** Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in Auburn Local Environmental Plan 2010 was notified on 29 October 2010. relation to Principal LEP : This planning proposal seeks to amend Auburn Local Environmental Plan 2010, and will be known as Auburn Local Environmental Plan 2010 Amendment No. 17.

## Assessment Criteria Need for planning The planning proposal is the only means of achieving Council's intention of providing proposal : increased housing choice, as well as meeting housing demand at a location in close proximity to Regents Park Station and shopping facilities. Consistency with The planning proposal is not the result of a strategic study or report. The planning strategic planning proposal results from an application by JBA Planning on behalf of the owner of part of the framework : site. It is acknowledged that the planning proposal is consistent with the Draft West Central Subregional Strategy Actions B2.1 and C2.1, as follows: . Action B2.1 encourages councils to investigate increasing densities in all centres where access to employment, services and public transport are provided or can be provided. The proposed R4 zoning will increase residential densities close to Regents Park Centre and railway stations. . Action C2.1 encourages councils to focus residential development around centres, town centres, villages and neighbourhood centres. The proposed R4 zoning will increase residential densities close to Regents Park Village Centre. The closest point of the subject site to Regents Park railway station is 400 metres. Environmental social As the subject land is highly urbanised there are no critical habitat or threatened species, economic impacts : populations or ecological communities that will be adversely affected by the planning proposal. There are no likely environmental effects as a result of the planning proposal. All potential impacts may be addressed through the relevant planning controls. As far as social and economic impacts are concerned, the planning proposal may create some adverse impacts, as it would (1) permit high density residential development adjacent to a railway line and an industrial area, raising amenity issues and (2) involve some adverse economic impacts owing to operational land-use conflicts with the adjacent industrial area. Assessment Process 28 Days Proposal type Precinct **Community Consultation** Period :

Timeframe to make LEP :	12 months	Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Energy Australia Transport for NSW Fire and Rescue NSW NSW Police Force Transport for NSW - S	t and Heritage ty Services - Housing NSW	

	Sydney Water	
	Adjoining LGAs	
s Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	Νο
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Νο
If no, provide reasons :	the site has a to Jenkins Street a shape, located b	tal area of 19,241m2 (i.e. 1.9ha) and is located on the southern side of nd the eastern side of Park Road. The land is a long thin rectangular between the Bankstown Railway Line and Park Road. The site varies in It 44m to 80m. Frontage is to Park Road and approximately 366m in
		ntly used for residential and light industrial purposes and is zoned IN2 under Auburn Local Environmental Plan 2010.
	(separated by Pa precincts in Aub precinct provide generally well-or an ideal and more	of the Regents Park Industrial Precinct which adjoins the subject land ark Road) and is zoned IN1. The precinct is one of the larger industrial ourn and the only one in the south of the local government area. The as a variety of different types and scales of industrial premises and is occupied, with a high proposition of lots of less than 5,000 m2 making it re affordable start-up location for businesses in the south of the local a, and further to Bankstown.
	PLANNING PRO	POSAL
	The planning pro	oposal indicates (see p.17) that:
κ.	sub-regional h . provide maxim residential hou	of the site for residential purposes will contribute to ousing targets; num the use of public transport by providing higher density using in proximity to public transport (the site is located 380 to 750m from Regents Park Railway station - and the Regents and
	•	orderly and economic use of the land.
		e acknowledged. It is also acknowledged that the planning proposal cular issues raised by Council (see  pp 27 - 28 of the planing proposal)
	RECOMMENDED While this is the following basis:	) REFUSAL case, it is recommended that the planning proposal be refused on the
	The planning pro the plan (i.e. B1. (Identify and reta	I PLAN FOR SYDNEY 2036 oposal indicates that the proposal is consistent with certain actions in 3, D1.1, D2.1, etc - see pp 23/24) and is justifiably inconsistent with E3.2 ain strategically important employment lands) and GB.1 (Avoid d use conflicts through strategic planning and the development cesses).
	retain strategica	nment: it is considered that the inconsistency with action E3.2 i.e. 'identify and Ily important employment land' (refer p. 141 of the plan) outweighs other see following discussion).
	The draft sub-reg	ENTRAL SUB-REGIONAL STRATEGY gional strategy identifies the Regents Park Industrial Precinct as a iable cluster of light manufacturing, freight and logistics uses ha.
	-	is included within the Regents Park Industrial Precinct in the strategy r retention for industrial purposes (see p. 44 of the strategy).

### AUBURN EMPLOYMENT LANDS STUDY 2008

The Auburn Employment Lands Study 2008 reviewed the existing employment land in the local government area in 2008 and outlined future demand for industrial land, as well as providing principles for sustainable and viable business and employment growth across the area until 2031.

For various reasons the study did not address the subject land, however, it provided a number of guiding principles:

- . the need to recognize industrial land, such as Regents Park, are limited resources and hold regional importance;
- the need to develop buffers of low impact industrial uses around land zoned for industrial purposes to minimize impacts on residential or other sensitive uses; and
- . to maintain and protect a surplus of industrial land through clear planning controls for economic reasons.

#### Department Comment:

The planning proposal is considered to be inconsistent with these principles as it particularly proposes to remove industrial land from the precinct and permit high density residential development adjacent to industrial land without the benefit of an appropriate buffer, relying on Park Road to serve this purpose.

### DRAFT AUBURN EMPLOYMENT LANDS STRATEGY (AECOM - 2014)

AECOM was commissioned by Auburn Council to carry out an employment strategy to enable council to plan for future employment growth with a 17 year horizon to 2031.

A draft strategy has been prepared and Council resolved to place the draft on public exhibition. The exhibition commenced on 15 October and will conclude on 21 November 2014. It is anticipated that the results of the exhibition will be reported to Council in the early new year (2105).

The draft identifies the site as Precinct 8 (i.e part of the Regents Park Industrial Precinct) and is shown in the precincts diagram taken from the draft (see 'Documents'). An extract from the draft strategy, providing a description of the Industrial Park, is also provided (see 'Documents').

In particular, the strategy addresses the subject land (and wider precinct) as follows:

In summary, planning policy recommendations for Precinct 8 are to:

- retain as large industrial precinct zoned IN1 General Industrial providing a
- wide range of premises close to transport in the southern part of the LGA.
- Retain IN2 Light Industrial along the eastern portion of the precinct.
- . Caution is recommended when considering planning proposals that envisage component/s of residential use, particularly so as they do not conflict with long term viability of uses in Precinct 8
- Adopt a flexible approach to considering a range of employment/industrial uses that may have different access and floorspace requirements, e.g. office-type floorspace, loading and circulation requirements.

An extract is included in 'Documents'.

### Department comment:

While recognising that the strategy is in draft form and has not been adopted by council, it does provide a professional and independent assessment of the situation, which

recommends against introducing a residential zone in this location for sound planning reasons.

### CONCLUSION

The recommendation for refusal, in summary, is based on the following:

- as the proposal seeks to rezone land that is part of the strategically significant Regents Park Industrial Precinct, it is inconsistent with the Metropolitan Pan for Sydney 2036 and the Draft West Central Subregional Strategy in regard to the retention of employment land;
- . permitting high density residential development in this location would place approximately 263 dwellings in close proximity to industrial uses exposing residents to undesirable amenity impacts, and
- . land use conflicts may arise between residents and users of the industrial area, leading to restrictions on industrial uses.

Further, the proposal is unreasonably inconsistent with the Auburn Employment Land Study 2008 and the draft draft Auburn Employment Lands Strategy (AECOM - 2014).

While this is the case, it is recommended that - by way of departmental advice - Council be informed to give consideration to reviewing the zone and controls for the site to assist in the orderly development of the land, once it has considered and adopted the current draft land employment study.

Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No

If Yes, reasons :

Identify any additional studies, if required. :

Other - provide details below If Other, provide reasons :

An updated traffic study has been provided by the proponent and forms part of the documents attached to this report.

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

**Employment Lands (ELDP)** 

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons .:

### Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public	
Council cover letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes	
Updated Parts 1 and 2 of PP- 8-2013.pdf	Proposal	Yes	
Updated Parts 3-6 PP-8-2013 Jenkins St Regents Park.pdf	Proposal	Yes	
Response to Council - Regents Park Planning Proposal (3).pdf	Study	Yes	
UPDATED TRAFFIC REPORT.pdf	Study	Yes	
REPORT TO COUNCIL APPENDIX A and B.pdf	Proposal	Yes	
Draft Auburn Employment Lands Strategy - extract - Description of Precinct 8.pdf	Study	Yes	
Draft Auburn Employment Lands Strategy - Precincts diagram.pdf	Study	Yes	
Draft Auburn Employment Strategy - extract - recommendations - Precinct 8.pdf	Study	Yes	
Section 117 Checklist.pdf	Proposal	Yes	
SEPP Checklist.pdf	Proposal	Yes	

# Planning Team Recommendation

S.117 directions:	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
	3.1 Residential Zones
	4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils
	4.3 Flood Prone Land
	6.3 Site Specific Provisions
	7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036
Additional Information :	It is recommended that the planning proposal does not proceed.
	Should the delegate be of the mind for the proposal to proceed, the following conditions are recommended for attachment to the determination:
	1. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the
	Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, as follows:
	(a) the planning proposal must be made available for 28 days; and
	(b) the relevant planning authority must comply with notice requirements for
	public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for
	material that must be available along with planning proposals.
	2. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section
	56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act:
	- Essential Energy
	- Department of Education and Communities
	- Office of Environment and Heritage
	- Family and Community Services - Housing NSW
	- Energy Australia
	- Transport for NSW
	- Fire and Rescue NSW
	- NSW Police Force
	- Transport for NSW - Sydney Trains
	- Transport for NSW - Roads and Maritime Services
	- Sydney Water
	- Adjoining LGAs
	3. An urban design study of the site is to be undertaken resulting in
	recommendations for a site specific DCP, to ensure that future development
	may be carried out in a way that allows development to meet the requirements of State Environmental Planing Policy 65, as well as broader urban design
	of State Environmental Planing Policy 65, as well as, broader urban design outcomes.
	4. Further studies are to be prepared and form part of consultation material,
	as follows:
	. an assessment of acoustic and vibration by a suitably qualified consultant,
	. a revised traffic and parking study to address the proposed residential
	development floor space ratio of 1.4:1 and an assessment of the capacity
	of the Amy Street Bridge intersection based on a current LOS F; and
	. a phase 1 contamination assessment in conformity with the requirements of
	State Environmental Planning Policy 55 - Remediation of land, which will
	allow consideration to be given to the need to prepare a phase 2 contamination assessment.
	5. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or
	body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. However, this does not discharge

l'ark Road, Regents l'a			
	Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing for example in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).		
	6. The time frame for completing the LEP is 12 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.		
-	Further, should the proposal proceed:		
	The delegate agrees:		
2	<ul> <li>that any inconsistency with Section 117 Directions:</li> <li>1.1 - Business and Industrial Zones, and</li> </ul>		
	7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036, is of minor significance; and		
	.considers Council's request to authorize the delegation of the Minister's plan making powers under section 59 of the Act to Council, in this instance.		
Supporting Reasons :	The recommendation for refusal, in summary, is based on the following:		
	<ul> <li>as the proposal seeks to rezone land that is part of the strategically significant Regents Park Industrial Precinct, it is inconsistent with the Metropolitan Pan for Sydney 2036 and the Draft West Central Subregional Strategy in regard to the retention of employment land;</li> <li>permitting high density residential development in this location would place approximately 263 dwellings in close proximity to industrial uses exposing residents to undesirable amenity impacts, and</li> <li>land use conflicts may arise between residents and users of the industrial area, leading to restrictions on industrial uses.</li> </ul>		
	Further, the proposal is unreasonably inconsistent with the Auburn Employment Land Study 2008 and the draft draft Auburn Employment Lands Strategy (AECOM - 2014).		
	While this is the case, it is recommended that - by way of departmental advice - Council be informed it may care to give consideration to reviewing the zone and controls for the site to assist in the orderly development of the land, once it has considered and adopted the current draft land employment study.		
Signature:	Aldran		
Printed Name:	<u>DORAN</u> Date: <u>20/11/14</u>		